

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,134	02/11/2005 Scott Koenig		13789-105023	1503	
65989 KING & SPAL	7590 05/07/2007 DING		EXAMINER		
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036-4003			CROWDER, CHUN		
NEW TORK,	N I 10030-4003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	·	
	10/524,134	KOENIG ET AL.		
I	Examiner	Art Unit		
١	Chun Crowder	1644		

	Chun Crowder	1044			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 25 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	EPLY FILED <u>25 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the				
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that			er is later. In no		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS					
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be 	nsideration and/or search (see NCow);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially it	educing or ampinying	1 1116 133463 101		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	•		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	Illowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	• •	Juny-	bulle		
Claim(s) allowed:		PHILLIP GAMBEL	PH.D JD		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,9-21,23,30-32,38,41-43,81-90 and 1</u>	04-100	PHIMARY EXAM	MEN .		
Claim(s) rejected. 1,9-21,23,30-32,38,41-43,61-90 and 1 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2-8, 22, 24-29, 3	<u>04-109</u> . 33-37. 39. 40. 44-80. 91-103.	T	1600 5/1/c		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			51110		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	wit or other evidence	is necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, wi entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails t showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allows	ance because:		
See Continuation Sheet.	are and a prince of the special of t	contained for unowe			

12.		Note the attached	Information	Disclosure	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08)	Paper	No(s).	
	_								

13. Other: _

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment does NOT place the application in condition for allowance for reasons of record. Applicant's proposed amendment to the claims introduces new issues and new limitations (e.g. human cell, clone 2B6 having ATCC accession number PTA-4591) that would require further consideration and/or search. Further, applicant's Remarks, filed 04/25/2007, points support for the amendment in the specification (e.g. on page 21, lines 4-8); however, no such support has been found in the specification as directed by the Remark filed 04/25/2007. Therefore, the amendment may encompass new matter issues.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for reasons of record, applicant's argument and the exminer's rebuttal are essentially same of record.